UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,991	06/11/2004	Hong-Da Liu	DISP007USA	3990
	7590 02/09/2007 RICA INTELLECTUAL	PROPERTY CORPORATION	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 506	MERRIFIELD, VA 22116		HOLTON, STEVEN E	
MERRIFIELD,			PAPER NUMBER	
			2629	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
2 MO	NTUC	02/00/2007	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/709,991	LIU, HONG-DA			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Steven E. Holton	2629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEL	I. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ju 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	election requirement.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is objected to by the Explanation is objected to be a property to	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The Examiner objects to the specification regarding the presentation of the formula and mathematical symbols used within the specification.

Specifically, paragraph 6 (equation 1), the terms provided at the end of paragraph 29 (top of 8), paragraph 31 (equation 2), paragraph 33 (equation 3), and the symbols provided in paragraph 35 (pages 10 and 11) are all included using an extremely large font that causes some terms to become illegible. Further, the Examiner is unsure if the parallel line with dots symbol used in equations 2 and 3 is intended to be an equals sign (=) or a not equals sign (\neq).

Paragraph 9, uses the term 'gamma curve 42' with regards to figure 4. The Examiner notes that figure 4 does not possess an element 42, but does possess an element 30. The Examiner feels that either the figure or the specification should be amended to use the same element number in both.

Paragraph 28, certain points within Fig. 6 are incorrectly identified. Specifically, the points $V_{o'}$ and $V_{j'}$ are not named within the paragraph. The Examiner believes that the phrase reading "the gamma curve 52 is between $V_{o''}$ and $V_{d'}$, and..." should be edited to refer to $V_{o'}$. Similarly, the phrases using "driving voltages V_j , $V_{j''}$, and $V_{j''}$ at ..." should be edited to be "voltages V_j , $V_{j'}$, and $V_{j''}$ " to agree with the information shown in Fig. 6.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2629

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chow (USPN: 7129920).

Regarding claims 1 and 5, Chow discloses a method of operation for a liquid crystal display to correct brightness compensation due to differences in the thickness of the display device. The method includes providing calibration gamma curves and applying different voltages at positions of the LCD based to have identical brightness within the display (col. 3, lines 30-55; col. 6, line 17 – col. 7, line 10).

Regarding claim 2, Chow discloses detecting gamma curves at positions of the LCD and then determining the driving voltage at each position at the gray scale and then providing driving voltages to each pixel to provide equal brightness at locations of the display (col. 6, line 17 – col. 7, line 10).

Regarding claim 3, Chow discloses using interpolation to determine gamma curves at locations in the display and for determining the corrected driving voltage at the locations in the display (col. 6, line 61 – col. 7, line 10).

Art Unit: 2629

Ŷ

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chow.

Regarding claim 4, as discussed above, Chow discloses all of the limitations except "obtaining a gamma curve of a position at a center of gravity of three positions that have known gamma curves by utilizing a formula of a center of gravity." Chow discloses using a weighted interpolation method to determine a gamma curve between known gamma curves. The Examiner notes that finding the center of gravity is an interpolation method of calculation. At the time of invention it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art that any type of interpolation method, including the well-known technique of 'center of gravity' calculation, could be used as the interpolation method of Chow. The center of gravity calculation could be done using 2, 3, or more inputs of information based on design choice and the result would be the result of a weighted interpolation technique. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art that a 'center of gravity' calculation method or similar interpolation technique could be used as the interpolation method of Chow to determine driving voltages for the pixels of the liquid crystal display.

Art Unit: 2629

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Serita (USPN: 6603452) discloses a gamma correction system for a LCD providing different driving voltages based on measured differences of the brightness of the display based on display thickness.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven E. Holton whose telephone number is (571) 272-7903. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amr Awad can be reached on (571) 272-7764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Steven E. Holton Division 2629 February 1, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED

Page 5